

Poster Judging Rubric

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	Score
1 Hypothesis/ Problem Statement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No project goal is stated • Missing hypothesis or hypothesis poorly presented • No background 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project goal not clear • Questionable hypothesis or not well presented • Limited background 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project goal stated including relevance of the work • Logically and clearly presented hypothesis • Relevant background 	
2 Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methods lacking 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No or little comment on why methods chosen • Adequate discussion of rigor & reproducibility relevant to the type of research • If relevant, some significant controls or comparisons missing 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solid explanation of why methods chosen • Clear discussion of controls if relevant • Discussion of rigor and reproducibility relevant to methodologies 	
3 Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results not presented in a clear manner 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate amount of high quality data • Data address the hypothesis 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Substantial amounts of high quality data • All data address the hypothesis 	
4 Conclusions/ Future Work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conclusions not presented • Conclusions do not link link to hypothesis • Conclusions do not link link to background presented in introduction 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasonable conclusion presented • Conclusions not compared to hypothesis • Relevance of conclusions not discussed 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasonable conclusions given; strong supporting evidence • Conclusions compared to hypothesis • Conclusions relate to background 	
5 Citations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No citations available 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Citations available but irrelevant 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevant citations present or made available 	
6 Figures (charts,graphs, pictures)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not present or illegible • Irrelevant 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data presentation not clear • Figures & tables not always relevant • Figures and tables not consistently constructed 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data presentation was clear, concise & thorough • Figures and tables are consistently constructed and presented 	
7 Poster Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor layout • Text is hard to read • Errors and evidence of lack of proofreading 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Layout is inconsistent • Text is relatively clear, occasional errors 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Layout is easy to follow • Text is clear & virtually free of errors 	
8 Oral Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not present at poster 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation acceptable • Some problems (speaking too softly, jargon, no eye contact) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presenter was confident & professional • Clearly conveyed research 	
9 Ability to Answer Questions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not present at poster 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Answered questions with some hesitation or inaccuracy 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Handled all questions confidently & accurately • Demonstrated strategies for addressing questions to which they did not know answer 	